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Abstract
Approaches to obtain monolithic 3D logic and memory ICs are proposed in this paper. 3-4x higher memory density with similar litho cost can be obtained with monolithic 3D memories, while benefits similar to a generation of scaling can be obtained with monolithic 3D logic by doubling the number of device layers. Well-known, manufacturing-friendly materials, process steps and device structures are used.

Introduction
3D-ICs with monolithically stacked transistor layers provide ultra-dense through-silicon connections and short wires. In addition, they often utilize the same litho step to pattern multiple layers of devices, thereby reducing litho cost. A few trends are making monolithic 3D-ICs increasingly attractive. Fig. 1 shows litho tool cost has increased exponentially over the past 40 years. Next-generation litho is now quite expensive and risky. Fig. 2 indicates wire RC delays are a key bottleneck in today’s chips. A 28nm GPU, for instance, requires several times more energy for communicating data than for computation. This trend is expected to get worse with scaling (Fig. 2). Down-scaling requires major changes to transistors too, with disruptive innovations such as high-k/metal gate and Finfets required every few years.

Ion-Cut Technology
Single crystal silicon for stacked device layers of Monolithic 3D-ICs can be obtained with ion-cut technology, which involves hydrogen implantation, wafer bonding and cleave (Fig. 3). Ion-cut has been demonstrated below 400°C [3], and is well-known due to its two decades of use for SOI wafer manufacturing.

Monolithic 3D Memories
Flash memory, which scales faster than logic and DRAM, may hit the limits of traditional scaling first. Toshiba, Samsung, Micron and Hynix have all invested aggressively in polysilicon-based monolithic 3D flash memories, and have them on their roadmaps [4][5]. Fig. 4 shows our proposal for single crystal silicon 3D flash memories with litho steps shared among multiple device layers. Single crystal silicon flash memory cells have ~5x higher mobility, better off-characteristics and lower variability compared with poly cells, all of which help with multi-bit storage. As shown in Fig. 4, ion-cut is utilized repeatedly to produce multiple layers of single crystal silicon atop peripheral circuits with tungsten wiring, following which shared litho steps are utilized to define NAND flash memory strings and produce contacts [5]. Fig. 5 shows memory capacity estimations based on [5]. For a 140mm² die, the structure in Fig. 4 can provide 256Gbit chips compared with 64Gbit and 128Gbit for conventional scaled NAND and polysilicon-based 3D vertical NAND flash respectively [5]. This is due to the cost advantages of sharing litho steps across multiple memory layers as well as the multi-bit storage capacity of single crystal silicon cells. Maximum aspect ratios for etch and deposition in Fig. 4 are 16:1 compared to higher than 50:1 for poly-based 3D vertical NAND flash, allowing easier manufacturing. Note that ion-cut could be applied to any 3D flash memory with horizontal channels, and is not limited to the exemplary architecture [6] shown in Fig. 4.

Monolithic 3D architectures with shared litho steps have been developed by the authors for floating-body DRAM and resistive memories too [7]. Single crystal silicon is an enabler for these applications. The primary concern with applying ion-cut to memories is cost. Our analysis reveals that with re-use of substrates, ion-cut could cost as low as $60 per layer, making it affordable. Encouragingly, some companies in the cost-sensitive solar industry are adopting ion-cut today.

Monolithic 3D Logic
Much of today’s 3D logic stacks utilize chips processed separately with high temperatures that are then thinned, bonded and connected to each other. Silicon thickness of thinned die and misalignment during bonding are concerns with this approach. The ITRS predicts minimum TSV diameter around 1um between 2009 and 2015, indicating difficulties scaling to small TSV sizes needed for many applications. Monolithic 3D-ICs could be a solution. Fig. 6 indicates the main barrier to creating high-quality transistors at Cu/low k compatible temperatures (sub-400°C) is dopant activation. Fig. 7 describes one approach to overcome this problem, which utilizes recessed channel transistors. These have been used in DRAM manufacturing since the 90nm node, and are known to be competitive with standard planar transistors [9]. As can be seen in Fig. 7, high temperature dopant activation steps are conducted before transferring bilayer n+/p silicon layers atop Cu/low k using ion-cut. The transferred layers are unpatterned, therefore no misalignment issues occur while bonding. Following bonding, sub-400°C etch and deposition steps are used to define the recessed channel transistor. This is enabled by the unique structure of the device. These transistor definition steps can use alignment marks of the bottom Cu/low k stack since transferred silicon films are thin (usually sub-100nm) and transparent. Sub-50nm through-silicon connections can be produced due to the excellent alignment.

To investigate the chip-level impact of monolithic 3D-ICs, an open-source 2D/3D chip simulator called IntSim [10] was used. Fig. 8 gives a description of IntSim. Fig. 9 reveals that for a 22nm 600MHz logic core, doubling the number of monolithically stacked device layers can provide similar benefits to a generation of scaling (2x lower power, 2x lower die size). These advantages are due to the shorter wires provided by monolithic 3D, which allow reduced gate (driver) sizes. Note that Rent’s Rule based stochastic wire length distributions were used for this analysis, as CAD tools for monolithic 3D design are still immature today.

Conclusions
Several techniques to obtain monolithic 3D chips have been proposed in this paper. Benefits equivalent to several generations of scaling can be obtained with the technology without incurring the cost and risk of next-generation lithography. Wiring and litho limitations of traditional scaling could make monolithic 3D increasingly attractive moving forward.
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Fig. 1: Exponential increase of litho tool cost. An immersion litho tool costs $40M today while other tools in the fab cost $1M-$5M.

Fig. 2: Wire RC delay and energy trends (Left) nVIDIA 28nm GPUs [1] and (Right) AMD chips [2].

Fig. 3: Ion-Cut process for stacking single crystal silicon (c-Si) layers at less than 400°C.

Fig. 4: Process Flow for monolithic 3D NAND flash with junction-free charge-trap flash cells made of single crystal silicon.

Fig. 5: Comparison with conventional NAND flash and poly 3D NAND.

Fig. 6: Monolithic 3D with conventional logic transistors would need temperatures higher than 400°C.

Fig. 7: (a) A recessed channel transistor (b) Process flow for monolithic 3D logic. Bottom device layer with Cu/low k does not see more than 400°C. Through-silicon connections can be close to minimum feature size due to the thin-film process.

Fig. 8: IntSim, an open-source 2D/3D chip simulator.

Fig. 9: IntSim’s results for a 22nm 600MHz logic core.